<<批评性语篇分析的修辞维度研究>>

图书基本信息

书名:<<批评性语篇分析的修辞维度研究>>

13位ISBN编号:9787513511520

10位ISBN编号:7513511527

出版时间:2011-8

出版时间:外语教学与研究出版社

作者:李艳芳

页数:198

版权说明:本站所提供下载的PDF图书仅提供预览和简介,请支持正版图书。

更多资源请访问:http://www.tushu007.com

<<批评性语篇分析的修辞维度研究>>

内容概要

《批评性语篇分析的修辞维度研究》采用定性的、阐释性的批评分析方法,通过汲取古典修辞学理论、美国新修辞学、传播研究和文化研究等相关学科的学术智慧,对修辞学视角对CDA的补充完善进行了尝试性探讨。

本研究的理论基础是将意识形态看作是传播和权力的概念联系,从而确立意识形态与意义的社会建构之间的内在联系:一方面我们引入霍尔的意识形态理论,因为该理论建构了语篇与意识形态之间的辩证关系;另一方面,受Bygrave对修辞与意识形态关系阐述的启发,我们将伯克的语言作为象征性行为与主体性概念联系起来以克服CDA的解构主义取向。

通过对修辞认知性的问题化,我们指出权力是意义建构的一个重要维度。

对修辞、权力和意识形态之间关系的深刻洞察构成本研究的前提。

通过对古典修辞学和当代修辞学中散见的对修辞"自我韬晦"的论述,明确了权力的修辞逻辑。

在对汤普森的意识形态运作方式进行分析的基础之上,本研究提出修辞既是意识形态的载体又是揭露 意识形态的工具。

通过对修辞人格的解构,试图确立古典修辞学同批评性语篇分析的内在联系。

<<批评性语篇分析的修辞维度研究>>

书籍目录

AcknowledgementsList of Figures and TablesChapter 1 Introduction 1.1 Origin and Rationale for the Present Study1.2 Objectives of the Present Study1.3 Research Methodology1.4 Organization of the DissertationChapter 2 Literature Review2.1 Intellectual Background for CDA2.1.1 Linguistic Turn in Intellectual Climate2.1.2 Rhetorical Turn in Discourse Studies2.1.3 Critical Turn in the Study of Discourse2.2 CDA: An Overview2.2.1 Genesis of Critical Discourse Analysis2.2.2 A Diverse Picture of Research on CDA abroad2.2.3 Research on CDA at Home 2.2.4 Research on Metaphor in CDA 2.2.5 Critical Response to CDA 2.3 Introduction of the Rhetorical Perspective 2.3.1 Discourse Analysis and Rhetorical Criticism: Where They Converge and Diverge 2.3.2 Shared Focus between CDA and Critical Rhetoric2.4 Summary Chapter 3 the oretical Preliminaries3.1 Defining Key Concepts3.1.1 Discourse3.1.2 Power3.1.3 Ideology3.1.4 Being Critical3.2 Ideology and the Seal Construction of Meaning 3.2.1 The Critical Turn in the Study of Communication 3.2.2 Language as a Site of Social Struggle 3.2.3 Ideology as a Conceptual Link between Communication and Power 3.3 The Possibility of Rhetorical Intervention3.4 Ideology, Symbolic Action and Agency3.5 SummaryChapter 4 Rhetoric, Power and Ideology4.1 An Evolving Conception of Rhetoric4.1.1 A Glimpse of Classical Rhetoric4.1.2 An Overview of Rhetoric in Contemporary Times4.1.3 Three Perspectives of Rhetorical Studies4.2 Problematning "Rhetoric as Epistemic4.3 From a Pragmatic View of Rhetoric to Rhetorical Materialism 4.3.1 A Turn in Rhetorical Paradigm 4.3.2 An Anatomy of Rhetorical Materialism4.3.3 Comparing a Pragmatic Theory of Rhetoric and Rhetorical Materialism4.4 A Running Theme in Rhetoric4.4.1 The Self-effacement of Rhetoric4.4.2 Rhetoric and Power4.4.2.1 Rhetoric as Power Articulation......Chapter 5Deconstruction and Reconstruction:Kenneth Burke's Implication for CDAChapter 6 Metaphor as Terministic ScreenChapter 7 Theoretical Model in ActionChapter 8 Conclusions and **Implications**

<<批评性语篇分析的修辞维度研究>>

章节摘录

6.1 Three Perspectives on the Relationship between Metaphor and "Reality" 6.1.1 Description-oriented In Western thought, scrutiny of metaphor goes as far back as the Sophists, Plato and, View of Metaphor most notably, Aristotle. Investigations persist today, with metaphor being a popular topic of inquiry in the natural and social sciences, in linguistics, psychology, philosophy and literary theory, amongst others. Etymologically, "metaphor" derives from the Greek metaphora (meta - "over" and "phora" - "to carry") and generally denotes a process of creative comparisons or tropes of resemblance between different objects contexts and/or experiences. Along these lines, Burke summarizes metaphor as "a device of seeing something in terms of something else" (A Grammar of Motives 503) . Despite the varying emphasis of different theories of metaphor, they all generally consider metaphor to express the unfamiliar (and at times abstract) in terms of the familiar or to create novel expressions and understandings by comparing dissimilar objects and/or phenomena. The terminology may differ-"tenor" and "vehicle: ' "focus" and "frame" or "target" and "source" domains-but there appears to be an underlying agreement that metaphors graft together different fields of meaning. Despite this general agreement, the theoretical underpinning of major strands of thought on metaphor diverge considerably. A useful way to distinguish between them is by examining their views regarding the relationship between metaphor and "reality." This yields three broad, but not mutually exclusive, perspectives : 1) theories that focus on metaphors' power to describe reality; 2) theories that examine metaphors' capaaty to constitute reality; and 3) theories that propose metaphors' potential as a means of criticizing and transforming reality. In this section, we briefly overview these perspectives and the relationships between them in order to lay the groundwork for metaphor's role in establishing, maintaining and modifying ideology which shall be studied and explored later.

<<批评性语篇分析的修辞维度研究>>

版权说明

本站所提供下载的PDF图书仅提供预览和简介,请支持正版图书。

更多资源请访问:http://www.tushu007.com